



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/528,602

09/29/2005

Ijeoma Uchegbu

4938-P03603US00

3999

110 7590 09/10/2010
DANN, DORFMAN, HERRELL & SKILLMAN
1601 MARKET STREET
SUITE 2400
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103-2307

EXAMINER

GREENE, IVAN A

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1619

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

09/10/2010

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i>	Application No. 10/528,602	Applicant(s) UCHEGBU ET AL.	
	Examiner IVAN GREENE	Art Unit 1619	

All Participants:

(1) IVAN GREENE.

(2) PATRICK HAGAN.

Date of Interview: 8 September 2010

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:
N/A

Claims discussed:
N/A

Prior art documents discussed:
N/A

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: Non-Responsive

(3) MORGAN HOWARD.

(4) ____.

Time: 4:30 PM

/Cherie M. Woodward/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1647

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: After the six month statutory reply period had expired the examiner called Patrick Hagan to inquire if a reply to the notice of non-compliant amendment, mailed 02/26/2010, had been filed. Mr. Hagan informed the examiner that this was no longer their case and the case had been transferred to the law firm of Saliwanchik Lloyd & Saliwanchik. The examiner searched PALM for said law firm and placed a call to inquire as to the status of the case. The examiner was informed that the case belonged to Jeff Lloyd (Reg. No. 35589) who was not in the office. The examiner then spoke with Morgan Howard, Mr. Lloyds assistant, who confirmed that this case was abandoned.